

**Attachment 3 – Aldington Road upgrade works (AARU)**  
**Environmental Representative Monthly Report (ERMR #03)**  
**For the period 1 March 2026 to 31 March 2026**







1.	Construction activities carried out during the reporting period	<p>Aldington Road upgrade works (Phase 2) are being performed by Simmons.</p> <p>The following works were being undertaken during the reporting period:</p> <p><b><u>Aldington Road upgrade works (AARU) Simmons</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Earthworks</li> <li>• Culvert crossing</li> </ul>						
2.	Proposed upcoming construction works (where known)	<p>The following works are expected in the next period:</p> <p><b><u>Aldington Road upgrade works (AARU) Simmons</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Earthworks</li> <li>• Culvert crossing</li> </ul>						
3.	ER activities undertaken during this reporting period.							
3 (a)	Site inspections	<p>During the reporting period, ER carried out the following inspections:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="451 779 1393 1953"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="451 779 618 821">Date</th> <th data-bbox="618 779 1393 821">Key Observations</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="451 821 618 1503">05/03/2026</td> <td data-bbox="618 821 1393 1503"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Confirmation of the erosion and sediment control (ESC) approach, including management of site water discharging to adjacent catchments, remains outstanding. An updated plan was requested following the meeting on 06/02/26; however, this has not yet been provided and applies to multiple locations.</li> <li>• Further coordination is required with adjacent developments to the north and south (including EDGE/AWJ works). These interactions should be reflected in the updated ESC plans. This request remains outstanding across multiple locations.</li> <li>• Ongoing issues with sediment tracking to Aldington Road (south) and AARU Phase 1 works have been identified. This matter should be formally raised and addressed in a report to the Department of Planning, in accordance with the conditions of consent. Alternatively, if the source is determined to be associated with an adjacent project, the findings should be documented and retained for confirmation with the Environmental Representative (ER).</li> <li>• An issue persists where water from the central and southern road catchments drains to a cross-drainage/culvert interface and subsequently discharges to the adjacent western development (Westgate/Lot 9), without confirmed compliant sediment controls in place.</li> <li>• <b>Important note:</b> The absence of an approved erosion and sediment control plan indicating a specific control does not remove the obligation to implement appropriate measures to prevent or mitigate pollution and adverse environmental impacts.</li> </ul> </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="451 1503 618 1953">19/03/2026</td> <td data-bbox="618 1503 1393 1953"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Updated ESC approach and management plan for site water reporting into adjacent catchments was requested following the 06/02/26 meeting; this request remains outstanding at multiple locations.</li> <li>• Additional interactions with developments north and south of EDGE/AWJ constructions are expected; these need to be reflected in the updated plans. This request also remains outstanding at multiple locations.</li> <li>• Ongoing mud/tracking issues to Aldington South and AARU Phase 1 works should be reported to the Department of Planning per consent conditions. If related to adjacent projects, details of investigations are to be retained until confirmed with the ER.</li> <li>• Water from central/southern road catchments currently drains to a cross-drain/culvert interface and into adjacent western development (Westgate/Lot 9) without confirmed compliant sediment control. Improved controls have been implemented, but requested ESCP confirmation remains outstanding.</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Date	Key Observations	05/03/2026	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Confirmation of the erosion and sediment control (ESC) approach, including management of site water discharging to adjacent catchments, remains outstanding. An updated plan was requested following the meeting on 06/02/26; however, this has not yet been provided and applies to multiple locations.</li> <li>• Further coordination is required with adjacent developments to the north and south (including EDGE/AWJ works). These interactions should be reflected in the updated ESC plans. This request remains outstanding across multiple locations.</li> <li>• Ongoing issues with sediment tracking to Aldington Road (south) and AARU Phase 1 works have been identified. This matter should be formally raised and addressed in a report to the Department of Planning, in accordance with the conditions of consent. Alternatively, if the source is determined to be associated with an adjacent project, the findings should be documented and retained for confirmation with the Environmental Representative (ER).</li> <li>• An issue persists where water from the central and southern road catchments drains to a cross-drainage/culvert interface and subsequently discharges to the adjacent western development (Westgate/Lot 9), without confirmed compliant sediment controls in place.</li> <li>• <b>Important note:</b> The absence of an approved erosion and sediment control plan indicating a specific control does not remove the obligation to implement appropriate measures to prevent or mitigate pollution and adverse environmental impacts.</li> </ul>	19/03/2026	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Updated ESC approach and management plan for site water reporting into adjacent catchments was requested following the 06/02/26 meeting; this request remains outstanding at multiple locations.</li> <li>• Additional interactions with developments north and south of EDGE/AWJ constructions are expected; these need to be reflected in the updated plans. This request also remains outstanding at multiple locations.</li> <li>• Ongoing mud/tracking issues to Aldington South and AARU Phase 1 works should be reported to the Department of Planning per consent conditions. If related to adjacent projects, details of investigations are to be retained until confirmed with the ER.</li> <li>• Water from central/southern road catchments currently drains to a cross-drain/culvert interface and into adjacent western development (Westgate/Lot 9) without confirmed compliant sediment control. Improved controls have been implemented, but requested ESCP confirmation remains outstanding.</li> </ul>
Date	Key Observations							
05/03/2026	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Confirmation of the erosion and sediment control (ESC) approach, including management of site water discharging to adjacent catchments, remains outstanding. An updated plan was requested following the meeting on 06/02/26; however, this has not yet been provided and applies to multiple locations.</li> <li>• Further coordination is required with adjacent developments to the north and south (including EDGE/AWJ works). These interactions should be reflected in the updated ESC plans. This request remains outstanding across multiple locations.</li> <li>• Ongoing issues with sediment tracking to Aldington Road (south) and AARU Phase 1 works have been identified. This matter should be formally raised and addressed in a report to the Department of Planning, in accordance with the conditions of consent. Alternatively, if the source is determined to be associated with an adjacent project, the findings should be documented and retained for confirmation with the Environmental Representative (ER).</li> <li>• An issue persists where water from the central and southern road catchments drains to a cross-drainage/culvert interface and subsequently discharges to the adjacent western development (Westgate/Lot 9), without confirmed compliant sediment controls in place.</li> <li>• <b>Important note:</b> The absence of an approved erosion and sediment control plan indicating a specific control does not remove the obligation to implement appropriate measures to prevent or mitigate pollution and adverse environmental impacts.</li> </ul>							
19/03/2026	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Updated ESC approach and management plan for site water reporting into adjacent catchments was requested following the 06/02/26 meeting; this request remains outstanding at multiple locations.</li> <li>• Additional interactions with developments north and south of EDGE/AWJ constructions are expected; these need to be reflected in the updated plans. This request also remains outstanding at multiple locations.</li> <li>• Ongoing mud/tracking issues to Aldington South and AARU Phase 1 works should be reported to the Department of Planning per consent conditions. If related to adjacent projects, details of investigations are to be retained until confirmed with the ER.</li> <li>• Water from central/southern road catchments currently drains to a cross-drain/culvert interface and into adjacent western development (Westgate/Lot 9) without confirmed compliant sediment control. Improved controls have been implemented, but requested ESCP confirmation remains outstanding.</li> </ul>							

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Absence of an approved ESC plan does not remove the requirement to provide appropriate controls to prevent pollution or environmental impacts; this comment is retained.</li> </ul>						
		A selection of photographs taken as part of inspections is provided, with comments, at section 15.						
3 (b)	Audits undertaken	The ER did not undertake any audits during the reporting period.						
4.	Audits/ Inspections by Others	<p>A summary of the observation from CPESC monthly reports prepared is provided below.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Date</th> <th>Key Observations</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>14/03/2026 26/03/2026</td> <td> <p>A CPESC inspection was conducted by EMM representative (CPESC) to assess compliance with the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan.</p> <p>The CPESC was present during three inspections with details provided below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The site received 74mm of rainfall during the reporting period.</li> <li>Formal agreements with neighbouring stakeholders are being finalised, resulting in significant improvements to stormwater management during this month's rain events.</li> <li>Management of sediment controls remains challenging due to the dynamic nature of works.</li> <li>High-risk areas, such as the Culvert crossing, are now effectively managed through cooperation with Frasers and Icon developments.</li> <li>Stabilisation works have been delayed by frequent small rain events and remain outstanding.</li> <li>Mud tracking continues to be a challenge on the AARU project due to high vehicle movements; contractors remain responsible for movements from other sites crossing the alignment.</li> <li>The site remains compliant with the ESCP. A revision of the approved ESCP is underway to reflect current site controls in preparation for the lane switch next month.</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Date	Key Observations	14/03/2026 26/03/2026	<p>A CPESC inspection was conducted by EMM representative (CPESC) to assess compliance with the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan.</p> <p>The CPESC was present during three inspections with details provided below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The site received 74mm of rainfall during the reporting period.</li> <li>Formal agreements with neighbouring stakeholders are being finalised, resulting in significant improvements to stormwater management during this month's rain events.</li> <li>Management of sediment controls remains challenging due to the dynamic nature of works.</li> <li>High-risk areas, such as the Culvert crossing, are now effectively managed through cooperation with Frasers and Icon developments.</li> <li>Stabilisation works have been delayed by frequent small rain events and remain outstanding.</li> <li>Mud tracking continues to be a challenge on the AARU project due to high vehicle movements; contractors remain responsible for movements from other sites crossing the alignment.</li> <li>The site remains compliant with the ESCP. A revision of the approved ESCP is underway to reflect current site controls in preparation for the lane switch next month.</li> </ul>		
Date	Key Observations							
14/03/2026 26/03/2026	<p>A CPESC inspection was conducted by EMM representative (CPESC) to assess compliance with the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan.</p> <p>The CPESC was present during three inspections with details provided below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The site received 74mm of rainfall during the reporting period.</li> <li>Formal agreements with neighbouring stakeholders are being finalised, resulting in significant improvements to stormwater management during this month's rain events.</li> <li>Management of sediment controls remains challenging due to the dynamic nature of works.</li> <li>High-risk areas, such as the Culvert crossing, are now effectively managed through cooperation with Frasers and Icon developments.</li> <li>Stabilisation works have been delayed by frequent small rain events and remain outstanding.</li> <li>Mud tracking continues to be a challenge on the AARU project due to high vehicle movements; contractors remain responsible for movements from other sites crossing the alignment.</li> <li>The site remains compliant with the ESCP. A revision of the approved ESCP is underway to reflect current site controls in preparation for the lane switch next month.</li> </ul>							
5.	Summary of Community Consultation	<p>The CCS includes the register of consultation and communication for the Project.</p> <p>A summarised extract for the reporting period is provided as Attachment 1.</p>						
6.	Summary of Complaints	<p>There were no complaints received during the reporting period.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Date</th> <th>Details</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>NIL</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Date	Details	NIL			
Date	Details							
NIL								
7.	Summary of Incidents	<p>During the reporting period, no incidents were reported to the Planning Secretary.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Date</th> <th>Details</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>NIL</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Date	Details	NIL			
Date	Details							
NIL								
8.	Summary of Non-Compliances	<p>During the reporting period, no non-compliances were reported to the Planning Secretary.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Date</th> <th>Details</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>NIL</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Date	Details	NIL			
Date	Details							
NIL								
9.	Evaluation of Environmental Performance	<p>The ER's evaluation of Environmental Performance is based on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review of monitoring data for dust, noise and traffic</li> <li>Review of complaints and incidents</li> <li>Monthly CPESC Audit report</li> <li>Stakeholder feedback</li> <li>ER site inspections.</li> </ul> <p>Further discussion of environmental performance is presented below.</p> <p><b>NOISE</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Month</th> <th>Noise Levels</th> <th>Comments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>March 2026</td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>General noise levels ranging between 55dB and 69dB</li> </ul> </td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On several occasions, noise levels on site exceeded the industrial limit of 75 dB, though levels remained lower at the nearest sensitive receiver.</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Month	Noise Levels	Comments	March 2026	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>General noise levels ranging between 55dB and 69dB</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On several occasions, noise levels on site exceeded the industrial limit of 75 dB, though levels remained lower at the nearest sensitive receiver.</li> </ul>
Month	Noise Levels	Comments						
March 2026	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>General noise levels ranging between 55dB and 69dB</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On several occasions, noise levels on site exceeded the industrial limit of 75 dB, though levels remained lower at the nearest sensitive receiver.</li> </ul>						

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Noise levels increased during the period.</li> </ul>																										
		<p><b>Recommendations – Noise</b></p> <p>No additional recommendations are made over and above the commitments in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan. However, should any complaints be received, attended monitoring is undertaken at the complaints residence to accurately determine whether (or not) the noise management levels are complied with and whether any further management actions are required.</p> <p><b>DUST</b></p> <p>The real time air quality criteria are presented in the table below.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Pollutant</th> <th>Averaging Period</th> <th>Air Quality Criteria</th> <th>Application</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Particulate matter less than 10 micrometres in diameter (PM<sub>10</sub>)</td> <td>Annual</td> <td>25 µg/m<sup>3</sup></td> <td>Off-site receiver</td> </tr> <tr> <td>24-hour</td> <td>50 µg /m<sup>3</sup></td> <td>Off-site receiver</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Particulate matter less than 2.5 micrometres in diameter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>)</td> <td>Annual</td> <td>8 µg /m<sup>3</sup></td> <td>Off-site receiver</td> </tr> <tr> <td>24-hour</td> <td>25 µg /m<sup>3</sup></td> <td>Off-site receiver</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>A summary of results for the reporting period is provided below:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Month</th> <th>Details of Exceedances</th> <th>Comments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="2">March 2026</td> <td>NIL</td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The rolling average for particulate matter PM<sub>2.5</sub> was below the limit (8µg /m<sup>3</sup>) at the monitoring location for the reporting period.</li> </ul> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>NIL</td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The rolling average for particulate matter PM<sub>10</sub> was below the limit (25µg /m<sup>3</sup>) at the monitoring location for the reporting period.</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><b>Recommendations - Dust</b></p> <p>Construction works should continue to prioritize ongoing visual monitoring, weather forecasting, and adjustments to work practices during hot, dry, and windy conditions. Additional measures outlined in previous ER monthly reports should also remain in effect, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retention of vegetative ground cover and temporary stabilization/ground cover in non-active work areas.</li> <li>Provision of ground stabilisation for completed work areas as soon as reasonably practicable</li> <li>Improved planning for works in conditions likely to generate dust, including increased watering (e.g., additional water carts), avoiding work in windy conditions, and implementing visual surveillance to ensure work is stopped promptly when needed.</li> </ul> <p><b>TRAFFIC</b></p> <p><b>Recommendations - Traffic</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continue to monitor and manage on site with regular toolbox talks with new suppliers and subcontractors to site identifying the importance of following the CTMP code of conduct.</li> </ul>	Pollutant	Averaging Period	Air Quality Criteria	Application	Particulate matter less than 10 micrometres in diameter (PM <sub>10</sub> )	Annual	25 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Off-site receiver	24-hour	50 µg /m <sup>3</sup>	Off-site receiver	Particulate matter less than 2.5 micrometres in diameter (PM <sub>2.5</sub> )	Annual	8 µg /m <sup>3</sup>	Off-site receiver	24-hour	25 µg /m <sup>3</sup>	Off-site receiver	Month	Details of Exceedances	Comments	March 2026	NIL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The rolling average for particulate matter PM<sub>2.5</sub> was below the limit (8µg /m<sup>3</sup>) at the monitoring location for the reporting period.</li> </ul>	NIL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The rolling average for particulate matter PM<sub>10</sub> was below the limit (25µg /m<sup>3</sup>) at the monitoring location for the reporting period.</li> </ul>
Pollutant	Averaging Period	Air Quality Criteria	Application																									
Particulate matter less than 10 micrometres in diameter (PM <sub>10</sub> )	Annual	25 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Off-site receiver																									
	24-hour	50 µg /m <sup>3</sup>	Off-site receiver																									
Particulate matter less than 2.5 micrometres in diameter (PM <sub>2.5</sub> )	Annual	8 µg /m <sup>3</sup>	Off-site receiver																									
	24-hour	25 µg /m <sup>3</sup>	Off-site receiver																									
Month	Details of Exceedances	Comments																										
March 2026	NIL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The rolling average for particulate matter PM<sub>2.5</sub> was below the limit (8µg /m<sup>3</sup>) at the monitoring location for the reporting period.</li> </ul>																										
	NIL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The rolling average for particulate matter PM<sub>10</sub> was below the limit (25µg /m<sup>3</sup>) at the monitoring location for the reporting period.</li> </ul>																										
10.	Analysis of lesson learnt and opportunities for improvement	Continued planning for and responding to wet weather events.																										
11.	Project Changes	<p>Changes to the project that occurred during the reporting period are listed in the table below.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Documentation</th> <th>Version and Date (Author)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>NIL</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Documentation	Version and Date (Author)	NIL																							
Documentation	Version and Date (Author)																											
NIL																												
12.	Any meetings attended by ER	<p>The ER has been involved in the following meetings.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Date</th> <th>Details</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>17/03/2026</td> <td>Mamre Road Precinct Working Group Meeting – minutes are available on request</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Date	Details	17/03/2026	Mamre Road Precinct Working Group Meeting – minutes are available on request																						
Date	Details																											
17/03/2026	Mamre Road Precinct Working Group Meeting – minutes are available on request																											
13.	Summary of documents issued by the ER	<p>The following documents were issued by the ER.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Date</th> <th>Details</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>NIL</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Date	Details	NIL																							
Date	Details																											
NIL																												

14.	Closing Remarks	<p>The site received 74 mm of rainfall. No complaints, incidents, or non-compliances were reported. Dust monitoring recorded no PM<sub>10</sub> or PM<sub>2.5</sub> exceedances, while noise levels exceeded the industrial limit of 75 dB on several occasions, remaining lower at the nearest sensitive receiver.</p> <p>The CPESC inspection found the site compliant, with a revised ESCP underway to reflect current controls ahead of the lane switch next month. Formal agreements with neighbouring stakeholders are being finalised, improving stormwater management. High-risk areas, including the culvert crossing, are now effectively managed through cooperation with Frasers and Icon developments.</p> <p>Outstanding issues include the updated ESCP requested 6 February, ongoing mud tracking to Aldington Road South and AARU Phase 1, delayed stabilisation due to frequent rain, and water from central/southern catchments discharging to adjacent western development (Westgate/Lot 9) without confirmed sediment controls. The ER notes that the absence of an approved ESCP does not remove the obligation to prevent or mitigate pollution.</p> <p>Priority actions for the coming period are submission of the updated ESCP, resolution of interface controls with neighbouring developments, completion of stabilisation works, and continued management of mud tracking.</p>
-----	-----------------	---

15.

Photo	Location and comment	Resolution/Action/Project Response
	<p><u>AARU – Northern extent – 05/03/2026</u></p> <p>Water from the western offsite catchment drains into the northern box out for the AARU –</p> <p>The water is detained within the works and is being pumped directly to the catchment of 90 Aldington.</p> <p>See previous requests for confirmation that controls are in place to accommodate water from the AAR development and that these controls are approved for inclusion in the AARU ESC strategy.</p> <p><b>This ESCP is outstanding.</b></p>	<p><b>OBSERVATION</b></p> <p><b>OUTSTANDING ITEM</b></p>
	<p><u>AARU – central catchments – south draining – 05/03/2026</u></p> <p>Per previous observations – these catchments report into the adjacent EDGE development.</p> <p><b>The ESCP confirming controls are in place in the adjacent development remains outstanding for the last inspection (20/02/2026).</b></p>	<p><b>OBSERVATION</b></p> <p><b>OUTSTANDING ITEM</b></p>
	<p><u>Management of fuels – 05/03/2026</u></p> <p>An open fuel-can has been left unattended in an un-bunded area.</p> <p>Improved management of fuels and hydrocarbons is required.</p>	<p><b>OBSERVATION</b></p> <p><b>ONGOING</b></p>
	<p><u>Interface with SSD-23480429 – 05/03/2026</u></p> <p>This item is continuation of items raised 06/02/2025 20/02/2026 and 27/02/2026.</p> <p>These items are included from previous reports above.</p> <p>The following concerns were provided in the report of 27/02/2026.</p> <p><i>In the absence of confirmation such as an ESCP indicating that water is reporting to a compliant control to the west and that there is accepted commitments from the adjacent project to receive and accommodate this water - this would be interpreted as a non-compliance against Conditions of Approval.</i></p>	<p><b>OBSERVATION</b></p> <p><b>FOR CPESC ACTION</b></p>

	<p><i>In the absence of confirmation such as an ESCP indicating that water is a compliant control to the west and that there is accepted commitments from the adjacent project to receive and accommodate this water, this could be perceived as pollution of waters and an offence under the POEO Act.</i></p> <p><b>The previously raised item indicted above has not been progressed or resolved.</b></p> <p><b>It is noted that any non-compliance or pollution of waters has existed /continued during subsequent rain events.</b></p> <p><b>The following observations/concerns are confirmed from this inspection</b></p>	

**Attachment 1 – Extract of Consultation and Communication Register**

---

Date	Responsible Rep	In/Out/ Meeting	Initial Communication Method/Tool	Contact Name/ Organisation	Nature of Complaint/Enquiry/ Communication	Summary of Issues/Details of Communication	Resolution
NIL							